Court Exhibit

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK        |   |
|                                      | X |
|                                      | : |
| SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC.      | : |
|                                      | : |
| Plaintiff,                           | : |
|                                      | : |
| v.                                   | • |
| CARRON CORMADE LIMITED               | : |
| SAFETY SOFTWARE LIMITED,             | : |
| Defendant.                           |   |
|                                      |   |
|                                      | : |
| SAFETY SOFTWARE LIMITED,             | : |
|                                      | : |
| Counterclaim Plaintiff,              | : |
|                                      | : |
| v.                                   | : |
|                                      | : |
| SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC., and | : |
| CHRISTEIN DUCKER,                    | ; |
| Counterclaim Defendants.             | : |
| Counterclaim Defendants.             | : |

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILEDNOV 0 7 2042

10 Civ. 1593

## VERDICT FORM

October 18, 2012

YOUR VERDICT MUST BE UNANIMOUS

| Brea | ach | of | the | License | Agreement | By | SSL |
|------|-----|----|-----|---------|-----------|----|-----|
|      |     |    |     |         |           |    |     |

| Breach or | the literate Agreement by bbl  |
|-----------|--|
| 1.        | Has SMS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that SSL breached the License Agreement?                               |
|           | YES NO   |
|           | [If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, proceed to Question 2. If you answered "No" to Question 1, proceed to Question 4.] |
| SMS' Lost | Profits re Customer Revenues   |
| 2.        | Has SMS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it suffered lost profits?   |
|           | YES NO   |
|           | [If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, proceed to Question 3. If you answered "No" to Question 2, proceed to Question 4.] |
| 3.        | If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, provide the amount of such lost profits: \$   |
|           | [Proceed to Question 4.]   |
| Breach of | the Oral Contract by SSL   |
| 4.        | Has SMS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it had an oral contract with SSL relating to hosting fees?        |
|           | YES NO   |
|           | [If you answered "Yes" to Question 4, proceed to Question 5. If you answered "No" to Question 4,                         |

proceed to Question 7.]

|      | 5.    | If you answered "Yes" to Question 4, has SMS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that SSL breached the                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|      |       | oral contract?  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      |       | YES NO  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      |       | [If you answered "Yes" to Question 5, proceed to Question 6. If you answered "No" to Question 5, proceed to Question 7.]                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      | 6.    | If you answered "Yes" to Question 5, what damages has SMS proven it suffered as a result of this breach:  \$                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      |       | [After completing Question 6, if you answered "Yes" to<br>Question 4, proceed to Question 9.]   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quan | tum M | eruit: SMS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      | an o  | er the following question only if you did not find that ral agreement existed between SMS and SSL relating to ing fees.                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      | 7.    | Has SMS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time SMS paid for hosting services, SMS understood it would be repaid by SSL? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      |       | YES NO  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      |       | [If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, proceed to Question 8. If you answered "No" to Question 7, proceed to Question 9.]                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      | 8.    | If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, please provide the amount of such services: \$   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      |       | [Proceed to Question 9.]  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|      |       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| В | r | e | a | C | h | 0 | £ | Co | on | t | r | a | C | t | b | 3 | 7 | S | M | S |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

| 9. | Has | SSL prove | en by | a   | prepo | onderance | of | the | evidence | that |
|----|-----|-----------|-------|-----|-------|-----------|----|-----|----------|------|
|    | SMS | breached  | the   | Lic | cense | Agreement | :? |     |          |      |

YES \_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 9, proceed to Question 10. If you answered "No" to Question 9, proceed to Question 11.]

## SSL's Contract Damages

10. If you answered "yes" to Question 9, please provide
 the amounts, if any, that SSL has proven by a
 preponderance of the evidence that it is owed relating
 to SMS' breach(es): \$

[Proceed to Question 11.]

## Quantum Meruit: SSL

Answer the questions in this section only if you have found that (a) SMS did <u>not</u> breach the License Agreement and/or (b) certain technical and support services SSL performed were outside the scope of the License Agreement.

11. Has SSL proven by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time it rendered technical and support services to SMS, it understood it would be directly compensated for those services?

YES \_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 11, proceed to Question 12. If you answered "No" to Question 11, proceed to Question 14.]

| 12.  | Has SSL proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it was not adequately compensated for those services?   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | YES NO   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [If you answered "Yes" to Question 12, proceed to Question 13. If you have answered "No" to Question 12, proceed to Question 14.]                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  | If you answered "Yes" to Question 12, please write in the reasonable value of those services: \$   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [Proceed to Question 14.]  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal   | Liability Against Christien Ducker   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Answer the following question only if you have found that SMS must pay damages to SSL. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.  | Has SSL proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the corporate veil of SMS should be pierced to reach the personal assets of Christien Ducker? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | YES NO   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [GO TO NE  | XT PAGE]   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[GO

| After co |     | _       |   |  |   |   |      |           | with | all |
|----------|-----|---------|---|--|---|---|------|-----------|------|-----|
| FOREPERS | SON |         |   |  | _ |   | <br> | -         |      | _   |
|          |     |         |   |  | _ |   | <br> | AND WATER |      | _   |
|          |     |         |   |  | _ | <b></b>                                 | <br> |           |      | -   |
|          |     |         |   |  | _ | *************************************** | <br> |           |      | -   |
| DATE     |     | <u></u> | - |  |   |   |      |           |      |     |